

THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION IS WATER POLLUTION

Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne, Vic., 3001
Phone: (03) 9592 5088 Fax: (03) 9592 4544
www.fluoridationnews.com
www.glenwalker.net

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 43
No.2

Price \$5.00
\$25 per annum posted Australia

March-April
2007

Print Post Approval
PP331.985 00013
ISSN 1445-2847

The Fate of Honest Science and Professional Responsibility

Glen S.R. Walker

The Christian principles upon which Australia prospered, have been surreptitiously superseded by all kinds of political gimmicks up to today's fight against free-speech by introducing their silly factor under the title of "political-correctness", which in vice versa would be more correct as "Correctness in Political Actions".

During the past 30 years our Organization has continually requested an answer to the following two questions relative to compulsory artificial fluoridation now throughout Australia, mandated by all Governments.

The questions have been made directly and indirectly to Prime Ministers of Australia, State Premiers, Federal and State Health Departments, Ministers of Health, A.M.A., A.D.A., National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, City and Town Councils when "deciding" to force fluoridation on to their communities.

None have answered directly or even through their Spin Doctors who usually handle such serious matters.

Waiting 30 years for response to two questions

We have offered space in **The Australian Fluoridation News** to any of the above to prove their fluoridation propaganda statements claiming fluoridation is perfectly safe for every person, irrespective of age, state of health, on medication, gender and their relative standards of living and that no person can be harmed.

The Questions

(1) Will each individual and organization identified above provide a "scientific" research document proving fluoridation is perfectly safe for all people. This scientifically researched document must be printed in world top scientifically refereed medical journals but minus "dental slogans" on which fluoridation is promoted.

(2) As:

● *the Governments of Australia recommend and indeed demand the additions of Sodium Silicofluoride or*

Hydrofluorosilicic Acid to all drinking water supplies called fluoridation;

● *These chemicals when mixed into drinking water supplies are NOT REGISTERED OR LICENCED as safe for humans in any part of the world by Official Regulatory Agencies such as the U.S.A. Food and Drug Administration;*

Please explain your responsible Health Principles that FORCE a population of all people to drink this UNREGISTERED and UNLICENCED poison in an uncontrollable daily dose of fluoride, treated by Australian Governments as a selectively different Health Rule from all other poisons and drugs.

Fluoridation is an obvious failure

Fluorides are the Government protected poisons even though fluoridation is an obvious failure as demonstrated by published studies relating to the hundreds of thousands Australians forced to wait 5 years and more for important dental treatments even though only drinking fluoridated water.

Perhaps the above identities would be afraid to swear an oath that the original Australian Fluoridation Acts of Parliament were based on True Science as documented in all State and Federal Hansard reports.

The Prime Minister of Australia, a great supporter of compulsory fluoridation stated in his NEW YEAR speech to the people of Australia, 31st December, 2006, that **"DENTAL DECAY had become an Australian epidemic"**.

This important statement by the Prime Minister even though 85% of Australians have been drinking only fluoridated water through their kitchen tap for 30 years and more.

Medical Hoax

Many consider waiting 30 years for answers to our 2 questions simply conjures up a strange set of circumstances involving compulsory medication of a population with UNREGISTERED drugs, while leading world scientists such as Professor Albert Schatz, the discoverer of the antibiotic

Continued on page 4

“EVERY DROP COUNTS”

“Our Water our Future”

Advertisements by the Victorian Labor Government

by Glen S.R. Walker

Do we need a mental check on our politicians?

Every newspaper during November/December 2006 was not only reporting the dried up water storage to a degree never before seen, but all used the same description - “**the precious water**” with relatively large pictorial illustrations.

At the same time in most States of Australia the same Precious Water was found politically necessary (without scientific proof), to have fluoride chemicals added to this Precious Water that had become a political football kicked around, out of bounds, but the umpires, who ever they may be, do not register the fault.

The Truth about drinking water supplies has suffered from every political direction and more lies on the subject of water are developed day by day, and mostly never publicly examined by responsible well paid people who head the Establishment Line with great care.

The Victorian Labor Government has spent millions of taxpayers’ money advising the population that they, the Government, have reduced water usage by a large percentage of litres.

This was generally accepted because there was no published question by Official Water Authorities in full knowledge of **actual water use**.

Government water use figures false

The **Herald-Sun**, 9th November, 2006, published a statement by the Opposition Leader, Ted Baillieu showing the falsity of the Governments claimed reduction of water use in Melbourne.

Ted Baillieu stated the **water use had actually gone up, not down**. He said, “Instead, we’ve gone up dramatically, September-October this year we’ve used 20% more water than September-October last year.”

“Melbourne Water” last night confirmed water consumption had gone up since Stage One water restrictions were introduced on 1st September.

It said Melbournians used 8% more water in October or 50 litres per person a day more than their 5 year average.

Will anyone take up this matter and run with it on behalf of the people? If you ever hear of such an action please advise us.

The highly publicised motto of our Victorian Government Water Minister is - “EVERY DROP COUNTS”, “OUR WATER OUR FUTURE”.

With daily messages in the media, the Government scientific warning to the public was not to waste water whilst cleaning teeth, etc. notifying the public they were responsible for wasting water.

Those political guardians of our welfare and health in particular have been successful in fooling the people that they really voted the most honest and intelligent politicians to govern in an exemplary manner.

Dramatic Increase in Water Use by Government Departments

The Melbourne media exploded that self promoted political theory on Thursday, 4th January, 2007.

The Water Minister, Mr Thwaites said, “Any increase in water consumption by Government Departments is totally unacceptable.” (Except by their political incompetence within their own departments.)

However, the actual matter of wasting water by people cleaning their teeth fades into a trifling Government propaganda compared with the official increased use of water by the State Government’s departments as published in the Herald-Sun 4th January, 2007.

“Education and Training - increased by			81%
Human Services	“	“	32%
Justices	“	“	127%
Primary Industries	“	“	30%”

Further Victorian Government efficiency and professional administration is challenged by the report that:

“The true figure (usage increase) is much higher. Two departments - Steve Bracks Premier and Cabinet and John Brumby’s Treasury and Finance have been unable to work out how much water they have used because of metering problems at their offices both at 1 Treasury Place.”

The media announced 5th January, 2007 that:

“A Water Watchdog will be appointed to crack down on Government departments that continue to waste water.” (Like appointing a Judge Watchdog to watch Judges)

The Government’s lack of professionalism is again exposed in their stated move to install “dual-flush toilets across all government departments to curb water use.”

Will anyone take up this matter and run with it on behalf of the people? If you ever hear of such an action please advise us.

That Law has been in Government Building Regulations for many years!

These are the people running the State of Victoria - go back to the heading of this article.

They define the manner in which you live, which brings to mind the many times politicians throughout Australia have given their reason why a Referendum is not granted to the people of Australia on mass medicating the population with **Unregistered and Unlicensed fluoride chemicals called fluoridation, plus no scientific proof of its safety.**

The Vice President of the Australian Dental Association, Dr. Mark Bowman, stated -

“Some people consider fluoridation can be a magic bullet, and it’s not.” (Herald-Sun, Health Watch, 11 January 2007)

The regular answer is that the matter is too emotive for public understanding and should only be in the power of Parliament (politicians) to decide such matters, like obeying the Law on saving water.

Commonsense, Laws and Regulations, and honest constitutional respect to the people is not a request - **IT IS THE LAW OF THE COUNTRY** and of all places should be transparently found and used in every Australian Parliament.

FLUORIDATION

FAULTY SCIENCE

Do "Teeth do better on Fluoride?" (*Border Mail*, 19 March 2007)

- How effective is compulsory fluoridation? We still have 25% adult tooth decay. This in spite of an increase of more than 85% in the number of dentists, dental hospitals and improved living conditions.
- The Report claims "significantly better dental health"? But at the same time the Report admits "25% of Australian adults have untreated dental decay." (Those with treated decay not mentioned). Is this significantly better dental health?
- How can you compare decay rates at present with those 77 years ago?

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's new report 2007 claims "their results provide the first evidence within the Australian population that drinking water during childhood translates into significantly better dental health in adulthood", stated by author Professor Gary Slade.

The authors state —

"People born between 1970 and 1990 had an average of 4.5 teeth affected by decay, compared with more than 24 teeth for those born before 1930" (Between 1970 and 1990 most of Australia was fluoridated)

That is comparing population groups with a difference of 77 years and more, which makes their number claim unacceptable scientifically.

To compare individual dental health before 1930 with people in 1999 is unacceptable as statistical rules demand such comparisons must be with similar places and the same time and in each case the relative data be as close as possible.

People living in 1930 and before, know there can be no comparison made on the dental health of those people who were attempting to live in the world's worst depression. Times were so different, it destroys these new claims about fluoridation. In those days, young girls about to be married, frequently had all their teeth removed to save future dental costs.

My memory of pre 1930's years of the large number of children who had never owned or used a toothbrush because their family could not afford such items. Indeed the number of children in the 1920's attending school in bare feet is similarly hidden from today's so call scientists, with their faulty dental comparisons.

The Australian Institution of Health and Welfare present a case of absolute contradiction of their own claims. They claim to have established that the use of fluoridation on children, "translates into significantly better dental health in adulthood". Then follows their next statement of "FACTS" —

1. *In general, about 25% of Australian adults have UNTREATED decay."*
2. *"About one in five Australian adults have moderate to severe forms of gum disease" (20% of adult population = 3 million Australians)*

Their claims are labelled in our opening heading - Faulty Science - The proof of fluoridation "effectiveness"!

Significantly better dental health"? And at the same time they admit "25% of Australian adults have untreated dental decay." (Those with treated decay not mentioned.)

The 25% of Australian adults equals 3,500,000 Australian adults with "untreated decay" but over 85% of

the Australian population forced to drink only fluoridated water delivered by the Governments of Australia through their kitchen taps with guarantees the fluoride will stop tooth decay.

This strange BIG PUSH in Australia to force fluoridation is the flavour of the month and openly pushed by Federal Parliament Minister of Health, and all their followers with **no scientific evidence of safety or effectiveness**. This peculiar Australian enterprise not only conflicts with world science, but also ignores the fact that only 4% of the world's population uses fluoridation, meaning 96% of the world population does not use fluoridation and still have good teeth!

All the advanced scientific countries of Europe, also China, Russia, Japan to name those who do not use fluoridation and understand artificial fluoridation's mythical and unscientific claims.

Professor Albert Schatz, the discoverer of the antibiotic Streptomycin, that saved millions of lives of those suffering tuberculosis stated in 1982:

"Indeed fluoridation is the greatest and potentially the most dangerous medical hoax not only of the present century but of all times. In other words, it is the greatest fraud that has ever been perpetrated on more people than any other fraud has."

Fluoridation promoters state that the reason for the high rate of dental decay is that we do not have enough dentists, proving that fluoridation has failed.

This article by The Freedom From Fluoridation Federation of Australia was an advertisement in the Wodonga Border Mail, Victoria, 5th April, 2007 - Editor.

"Saving" Water - The Premier's Method

The Sun-Herald, 18th March, 2007, recorded the Premier of Victoria, Steve Bracks' declaration on how he **saves** water in his home. One method:

"Uses a watering-can in the kitchen to catch surplus water that runs while waiting for the hot water."

As a suggestion we recommend Mr Bracks just rings his friends at the Plumbers Union, explain his "action of saving water" and they will send a plumber to his home and install a small pump with a length of copper pipe to circulate only hot water to his kitchen. We consider this should be compulsory in all new homes and commercial offices and that he recommend its use in existing homes.

Just one question to Premier Bracks - How do you **save** water by your watering-can wonderment, what do you do with the water in your watering-can - make tea, coffee or just flush it on your garden? Or, are you in the business of selling watering-cans?

Subscriptions: *The Australian Fluoridation News*,

\$25 per annum posted Australia. Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne. VIC. 3001.

- The Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria, Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne 3001
- GPO Box 369, Sydney NSW 2001

The only Australian Publication by Australians for Australians on Fluoridation (since 1963)

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Authorization to mechanically or electronically copy the contents of this publication is granted by the publisher to approved persons and organisations, provided acknowledgement is given to the author and publisher.

Famous statistician plagiarised false data to support water fluoridation

by Dr. Hans C. Moolenburgh ARTS The Netherlands

One of the most famous statisticians in the U.K., Sir Richard Doll, was also a fervent proponent of fluoridation.

When Dean Burk and John Yiamouyiannis published their findings of 10% extra cancer deathrate in fluoridated cities, the whole fluoride lobby hastened to deny their findings (instead of scratching their heads and thinking again). One of those was Sir Richard Doll.

What happened was this: In order to refute the Burk and Yiamouyiannis data, Drs Robert Hoover and M. Schneiderman of the U.S. National Cancer Institute claimed that Burk and Yiamouyiannis had not corrected their data for age, sex and race, and that when these corrections were made, the extra cancer deathrates disappeared. This happened in 1977, recorded in evidence presented before two Congressional hearings in U.S.A.

Lo and behold: Doll supported their findings with his own data.

Later it was discovered, as revealed subsequently in the hearings, that Dr Hoover and his group had withheld information from the Burk data which proved the fact that Burk's data were right after all, and also that the Hoover

group clandestinely sent erroneous data to Dr. Leo Kinlen and Sir Richard Doll, both Professors at Oxford University, WHO PUBLISHED THIS ERRONEOUS DATA AS IF IT WERE THE RESULT OF THEIR OWN INDEPENDENT RESEARCH. Thus an illusion was wilfully created, that independent research had confirmed the data of the Hoover group. It was just a dirty conjurer's trick.

Precise calculations confirmed the extra cancer deathrate found by Burk and Yiamouyiannis, which amounted to an extra cancer deathrate of 10,000 persons a year in the U.S.A. caused by fluoridation.

An adviser to Monsanto while claiming no evidence Agent Orange caused cancer

Now please fasten your seatbelts!

In the 80's Doll wrote a letter to an Australian Official Research Committee, that there was no evidence that the leafkilling chemical compound called Agent Orange, which had been used during the Vietnam War, caused cancer. This meant that veterans of this war, who got cancer, had no way to claim compensation.

Doll died in 2005, and now it has become known, that already in 1979 Doll was an adviser of Monsanto, the company which made Agent Orange and earned \$1500 a day for his advisorship. There is also indication that Doll had a business contract with other chemical firms.

They say that one should not speak evil of the dead and may God rest his soul. It would appear that some cleansing of Doll's soul would not be an unnecessary luxury.

References:

Dr. John Yiamouyiannis: Fluoride The Aging Factor, Raum and Zen; March-April 2007. Nexus; Feb-March 2007.

Dr. Hans Moolenburgh, ARTS, is a Netherlands specialist with extensive knowledge of the effects of fluorides, including heading a group of doctors studying the effects of fluorides on the health of the population in Holland. The studies showed that patients were adversely affected by fluorides in water. He lead in the successful campaign to prevent artificial fluoridation of public water supplies throughout Holland and the cessation of water fluoridation in all towns that had previously been artificially fluoridated, including Rotterdam. The details of these successful efforts are documented in his book *"Fluoride: The Freedom Fight"*, the story of how fluoridation was stopped in The Netherlands.

Fluoride Hazards of Synthetic Rubber in Vehicles

Service Manual Warning

Special hazards

Hydrofluoric acid

• This extremely corrosive acid is formed when certain types of synthetic rubber, found in some O-rings, oil seals, fuel hoses etc, are exposed to temperatures above 400°C. The rubber changes into a charred or sticky substance containing the acid.

Once formed, the acid remains dangerous for years. If it gets onto the skin, it may be necessary to amputate the limb concerned.

• When dealing with a vehicle which has suffered a fire, or with components salvaged from such a vehicle, wear protective gloves and discard them after use.

The classical treatise on fluoride intoxication by Kaj Roholm, published 70 years ago, divided inorganic fluorine compounds into three categories according to their toxicity - extremely toxic; very toxic and moderately toxic.

Hydrofluoric acid, together with Hydrofluorosilicic acid (used to artificially fluoridate public drinking water supplies) is classed as extremely toxic.

The Fate of Honest Science

Continued from page 1

Streptomycin, that saved millions of lives of those suffering tuberculosis stated in 1982:

"Indeed fluoridation is the greatest and potentially the most dangerous medical hoax not only of the present century but of all times. In other words, it is the greatest fraud that has ever been perpetrated on more people than any other fraud has."

Fluoridation is a failed medical concept.

The proof of fluoridation failure and health danger is obvious but our politicians play the game of Political Correctness, blindfolded against Correctness of Politics while supposedly representing The People.

Perhaps the latest disgusting follies in politics around Australia (to put it mildly) have exposed just the tip of the iceberg in "Political Honesty" and behaviour, encompassing unmentionables.

NOTE: The article on Sir James Killen's opposition to fluoridation has been held over to a future issue - Editor.